

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION 1	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,991		05/04/2001	Reinout Maitland	F7536	8749	
201	7590	07/11/2003				
UNILE			EXAMINER			
45 RIVE	DEPARTI R ROAD		PADEN, CAROLYN A			
EDGEW	EDGEWATER, NJ 07020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	10	
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'									
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) MAITLAND ET AL.					
		09/848,991	MAITLAND ET AL.						
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Carolyn A Paden	1761						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address	ess					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replinal period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) a cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	June 2003							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			merits is					
	on of Claims								
•—	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.							
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· _	, ,	Claim(s) <u>1-8, 24-27, 29</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>9-23 and 28</u> is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c on Papers	or election requirement							
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
′—	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	age					
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).					
) The translation of the foreign language pro acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest								
Attachment	-	· •							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-						

Application/Control Number: 09/848,991

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giovacchino (JAOCS 71(11)1994) and see page 1189, column 1 and Table 4 as further evidenced by van Putte (6,338,865) for reasons of record used in rejecting claims 2-6 and 24-27 in the last office action.

Applicant argues that Giovacchino teaches away from the concept of adding water to enhance polyphenol extraction. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. The Giovacchino reference teaches the same process as that set forth in the claims. The claims do not specify the type of polyphenolics that are extracted or the extraction method used. At page 1193, column 1 of Giovacchino, the oil and water soluble polyphenolics are disclosed. Also the reference suggests that, in over-ripe olives, certain analytical results may include a false positive for polyphenols. Thus the reference does not teach away from the claimed invention. Given the overall teachings in the reference, it is the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09/848,991

Art Unit: 1761

position that the same steps of processing olives in the same relationship would have produced the same results of higher polyphenol content. One of ordinary skill in the art, that had at least one college level food science course or a course in organic chemistry that included and oil and water partition lab, would have anticipated that more water soluble polyphenols would have been expected to be extracted in the presence of additional extraction water.

Claims 9-23 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,991

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN 7-

PRIMARY EXAMINER